arXiv:0712.2142v1 [hep-th] 13 Dec 2007

Thermodynamics of Apparent Horizon in Brane World Scenarios

Rong-Gen Cai *)

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China(KITPC)
at the Chinese Academy of Sciences
Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735,
Beijing 100080, China

Applying the Clausius relation, $\delta Q = TdS$, to the apparent horizon of FRW universe in brane world scenarios, we show that an explicit entropy expression associated with the apparent horizon can be obtained. On the apparent horizon, the relation, $dE = TdS + WdV$, also holds in the brane world scenarios. We show these results in the RSII model, warped DGP model and the more general case with a Gauss-Bonnet term in the bulk and an intrinsic curvature term on the brane. **CAS-KITPC/ITP-027**

§1. Introduction

General relativity together with properties of black hole horizon leads to four laws of black hole mechanics,1) which are quite similar to four laws of thermodynamics. Due to Hawking's discovery that black hole radiates particles with thermal spectrum,2) it turns out that it is not just analogy between the four laws of black hole mechanics and four laws of thermodynamics. Black hole is nothing, but an ordinary thermal object. However, as a thermal object, black hole is special in the sense that black hole has a temperature proportional to its surface gravity on the horizon and an entropy proportional to its horizon area.3) Since both the surface gravity and horizon area are geometric quantities, it seemingly implies that there exists some relation between Einstein equations, describing dynamics of spacetime, and thermodynamic laws, describing some relations among thermodynamic quantities.

Jacobson is the first one to seriously investigate such a relation.4) Jacobson finds that it is indeed possible to derive the Einstein equations from the proportionality of entropy to the horizon area together with the fundamental relation, $\delta Q = TdS$, the Clausius relation in thermodynamics, assuming the relation holds for all local Rindler causal horizons through each spacetime point. Here δQ and T are the energy flux and Unruh temperature seen by an accelerated observer just inside the horizon. In the cosmological set up with a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric, applying the Clausius relation together with the continuity relation to the apparent horizon of the FRW universe, one is able to derive the Friedmann equations, describing the dynamical evolution of the universe.5)–8) In particular, with the relation between the horizon entropy and horizon geometry of black holes in Lovelock gravity, the same approach reproduces the corresponding Friedmann equations of FRW universe in the Lovelook gravity.8) Naively applying the approach to the scalar-tensor theory and $f(R)$ theory, one fails to reproduce corresponding Friedmann equations in those

---

*) Email: cairg@itp.ac.cn

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls ⟨Ver.0.9⟩

theories.[9)] Jacobson *et al* argue that for $f(R)$ gravity, in order to derive the field equations, a non-equilibrium thermodynamics set up should be employed, where an entropy production term should be added to the Clausius relation.[10),11)] This statement also holds in the scalar-tensor gravity.[12)] Furthermore, we have shown that at the apparent horizon of the FRW universe, Friedmann equations can be rewritten in a universal form $dE = TdS + WdV$, not only in Einstein's general relativity, but also in Lovelock gravity,[12),13)] here E is the energy of matters inside the apparent horizon, V is the volume of the space surrounded by the apparent horizon, $W = (\rho - p)/2$ with ρ and p being the energy density and pressure of matters in the universe, and T and S are temperature and entropy associated with the apparent horizon. In Einstein's general relativity, in fact, the universal relation can be understood as the unified first law first proposed by Hayward.[14)]

Over the past years a lot of attention has been attracted to the brane world scenario. The so-called brane world scenario assumes that our universe is a 3-dimensional brane embedded a higher dimensional bulk spacetime; the matters in particle physical standard model are confined on the brane, while gravity can propagate in the whole bulk spacetime.[15),16)] Due to the existence of the brane, the gravity on the brane is no longer the Einstein's general relativity. As a result, it is interesting to apply this method developed in[12)] to study the entropy of the apparent horizon of a FRW universe in the brane world scenario. This is partially because the gravity on the brane is not the Einstein theory, the well-known area formula for black hole horizon entropy must not hold in this case, and partially because exact analytic black hole solutions on the brane have not been found so far, and then it is not known how the horizon entropy of black hole on the brane is determined by the horizon geometry. On the other hand, the exact Friedmann equations of the FRW universe on the brane have been derived for the RSII model some years ago.[17)]

In this paper we summarize the results obtained in.[18)–20)] It is found that in the various brane world scenarios, not only does the universal relation $dE = TdS + WdV$ hold at the apparent horizon of FRW universe on the brane, but also one can get an entropy expression in terms of horizon geometry associated with the apparent horizon. In the next section, we will discuss the thermodynamics of apparent horizon in the RSII model. The case of warped DGP model will be studied in Sec. 3. The more general case with a Gauss-Bonnet term in the bulk and an intrinsic curvature term on the brane will be investigated in Sec. 4.

Here we also would like to mention some recent discussions on the relation between the Freidmann equation and the first law.[21)–23)] In addition, Padmanabhan and his collaborators studied the relation between the Einstein equations and the first law of thermodynamics in the setting of black hole spacetime.[24)]

§2. Thermodynamics of apparent horizon in RSII model

Hayward has proposed a method to deal with thermodynamics associated with trapping horizon of a dynamical black hole in 4-dimensional Einstein theory.[14),25)] In this method, for spherical symmetric space-times, $ds^2 = h_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta + r^2 d\Omega_2^2$, Einstein equations can be rewritten in a form called "unified first law"

$$dE = A\Psi + WdV\,, \qquad (2\cdot1)$$

where E is the so-called Misner-Sharp energy, defined by $E = \frac{r}{2G}(1 - h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha r\partial_\beta r)$; $A = 4\pi r^2$ is the sphere area with radius r and $V = 4\pi r^3/3$ is the volume; and the work density $W \equiv -\frac{1}{2}T^{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta}$ and the energy supply vector $\Psi_\alpha \equiv T_\alpha{}^\beta\partial_\beta r + W\partial_\alpha r$ with $T_{\alpha\beta}$ being the energy-momentum tensor of matter in the spacetime. Projecting this unified first law along a trapping horizon, one gets the first law of thermodynamics for dynamical black hole

$$\langle dE, \xi\rangle = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G}\langle dA, \xi\rangle + W\langle dV, \xi\rangle\,, \qquad (2\cdot2)$$

where κ is surface gravity, defined by $\kappa = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-h}}\partial_\alpha(\sqrt{-h}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta r)$, on the trapping horizon, ξ is a projecting vector. In the paper,[12] we have applied this theory to study the thermodynamics of apparent horizon of a FRW universe in higher dimensional Einstein gravity and some non-Einstein theories, such as Lovelock gravity and scalar-tensor gravity by rewriting gravity field equations to standard Einstein equations with a total energy-momentum tensor. The total energy momentum tensor consists of two parts: one is just the ordinary matter energy-momentum tensor; and the other is an effective one coming from the contribution of the higher derivative terms (for example, in Lovelock gravity) and scalar field (for example, in scalar-tensor gravity theory). The total energy-momentum tensor will enter into the energy-supply Ψ and work term W in (2·1). Therefore, the work density and energy-supply vector in (2·1) also can be decomposed into ordinary matter part and effective part: $\Psi = \overset{m}{\Psi} + \overset{e}{\Psi}$, $W = \overset{m}{W} + \overset{e}{W}$. That is, $\overset{m}{\Psi}$ and $\overset{m}{W}$ are the energy-supply vector and work density from the ordinary matter contribution, while $\overset{e}{\Psi}$ and $\overset{e}{W}$ comes from the effective energy-momentum tensor. The matter part of energy-supply is the energy flux defined by pure ordinary matter, so its integration on the sphere (after projecting along the apparent horizon) naturally defines the heat flow δQ in the Clausius relation $\delta Q = TdS$. On the other hand, the unified first law tells us

$$\delta Q = \langle A\,\overset{m}{\Psi}, \xi\rangle = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G}\langle dA, \xi\rangle - \langle A\,\overset{e}{\Psi}, \xi\rangle\,. \qquad (2\cdot3)$$

The right hand side of the above equation should be written in the form of $T\delta S$ by considering spacetime horizon with $T = \kappa/2\pi$ as an equilibrium thermodynamic system. Thus we could get an entropy expression because the right hand side of the above equation is easily calculated. In this section, we apply this approach to the case of RSII model.

The so-called RSII model is a 3-brane embedded in a 5-dimensional anti-de Sitter (AdS) space.[16] To be more general, we are considering an $(n-1)$-brane with positive tension in an $(n+1)$-dimensional AdS space. Following the method given in,[26] we get the effective gravity field equations on the brane with tension λ:

$${}^{(n)}G_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda_n q_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi G_n\tau_{\mu\nu} + \kappa^4_{n+1}\,\pi_{\mu\nu} - E_{\mu\nu}\,, \qquad (2\cdot4)$$

where

$$G_n = \frac{n-2}{32\pi(n-1)}\lambda\kappa_{n+1}^4 \,, \tag{2·5}$$

$$\varLambda_n = \kappa_{n+1}^2\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\varLambda_{n+1} + \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)}\kappa_{n+1}^2\,\lambda^2\right) \,, \tag{2·6}$$

$$\pi_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4}\tau_{\mu\alpha}\tau_\nu{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{4(n-1)}\tau\tau_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8}q_{\mu\nu}\tau_{\alpha\beta}\tau^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{8(n-1)}q_{\mu\nu}\tau^2 \,, \tag{2·7}$$

and $E_{\mu\nu}$ is the electric part of the $(n+1)$-dimensional Weyl tensor. We now restrict ourself to the case with vanishing cosmological constant on the brane, which gives the constraint

$$\varLambda_{n+1} = -\frac{n(n-1)}{2\kappa_{n+1}^2\ell^2} \,, \quad \lambda = \frac{2(n-1)}{\kappa_{n+1}^2\ell} \,. \tag{2·8}$$

This leads to the relation between the gravity constants on the brane and in the bulk

$$\frac{G_{n+1}}{G_n} = \frac{\kappa_{n+1}^2}{8\pi G_n} = \frac{2\ell}{n-2} \,. \tag{2·9}$$

Considering a perfect fluid with energy-stress tensor

$$\tau_{\mu\nu} = (\rho+p)t_\mu t_\nu + pq_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2·10}$$

on the brane, the gravity field equations on the brane reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(n)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_n &\left[\rho + p + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{32\pi G_n}\rho(\rho+p)\right] t_\mu t_\nu \\ +8\pi G_n &\left[p + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{64\pi G_n}\rho(\rho+2p)\right] q_{\mu\nu} - E_{\mu\nu} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2·11}$$

Further, we set the bulk to be a pure AdS space so that the Weyl tensor vanishes, and the metric on the brane to be an n-dimensional FRW metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = q_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu &= -dt^2 + \frac{a(t)^2}{1-kr^2}dr^2 + a(t)^2 r^2 d\varOmega_{n-2}^2 \\ &= \tilde{h}_{ab}dx^a dx^b + \tilde{r}^2 d\varOmega_{n-2}^2 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2·12}$$

where $\tilde{r} = a(t)r$, $x^0 = t, x^1 = r$. Thus we can obtain the Friedmann equations on the brane without dark radiation term ($E_{\mu\nu} = 0$)

$$(n-1)(n-2)\left(H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}\right) = 16\pi G_n\left(\rho + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{64\pi G_n}\rho^2\right) \,, \tag{2·13}$$

$$-(n-2)\left(\dot{H} - \frac{k}{a^2}\right) = 8\pi G_n\left(\rho + p + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{32\pi G_n}\rho(\rho+p)\right) \,. \tag{2·14}$$

The energy density ρ on the brane satisfies the continuity equation

$$\dot{\rho} + (n-1)H(\rho+p) = 0 \,. \tag{2·15}$$

This equation and the Friedmann equations will be used later.

By introducing an effective stress-energy tensor, one can rewrite the gravitational field equations on the brane to

$$ {}^{(n)}G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_n \left(\tau_{\mu\nu} + \overset{e}{\tau}_{\mu\nu}\right) , \tag{2·16}$$

where

$$\overset{e}{\tau}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{8\pi G_n}\pi_{\mu\nu} . \tag{2·17}$$

Equations (2·16) are in the standard form of Einstein equations, so the unified first law is applicable to that case. Thus the work density term can have the following form

$$W = \overset{m}{W} + \overset{e}{W}, \tag{2·18}$$

with

$$\overset{m}{W} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - p) , \qquad \overset{e}{W} = -\frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{64\pi G_n}\rho p , \tag{2·19}$$

and similarly, the energy supply vector can be decomposed as

$$\Psi = \overset{m}{\Psi} + \overset{e}{\Psi}, \tag{2·20}$$

with

$$\overset{m}{\Psi} = -\frac{1}{2}(\rho + p)H\tilde{r}dt + \frac{1}{2}(\rho + p)adr , \tag{2·21}$$

$$\overset{e}{\Psi} = -\frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{64\pi G_n}\rho(\rho + p)H\tilde{r}dt + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{64\pi G_n}\rho(\rho + p)adr . \tag{2·22}$$

Using these quantities and the Misner-Sharp energy in n dimensions inside the apparent horizon

$$E = \frac{1}{16\pi G_n}(n-2)\Omega_{n-2}\tilde{r}_A^{n-3} , \tag{2·23}$$

where $A_{n-2} = \Omega_{n-2}\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}$ and $V_{n-2} = A_{n-2}\tilde{r}_A/(n-1)$ are the area and volume of the $(n-2)$- sphere with the apparent horizon radius $\tilde{r}_A = 1/\sqrt{H^2 + k/a^2}$ of the FRW universe, respectively, we can put the (00) component of equations of motion (2·16) into the form of the unified first law

$$dE = A_{n-2}\Psi + WdV_{n-2} . \tag{2·24}$$

After projecting along a vector $\xi = \partial_t - (1-2\epsilon)Hr\partial_r$ with $\epsilon = \dot{\tilde{r}}_A/2H\tilde{r}_A$, we get the first law of thermodynamics of the apparent horizon[12)]

$$\langle dE, \xi\rangle = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G_n}\langle dA_{n-2}, \xi\rangle + \langle WdV_{n-2}, \xi\rangle , \tag{2·25}$$

where $\kappa = -(1 - \dot{\tilde{r}}_A/(2H\tilde{r}_A))/\tilde{r}_A$ is the surface gravity of the apparent horizon. The pure matter energy-supply $A_{n-2}\overset{m}{\Psi}$ (after projecting along the apparent horizon) gives the heat flow δQ in the Clausius relation $\delta Q = TdS$. By using the unified first law on the apparent horizon, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Q \equiv \langle A_{n-2} \overset{m}{\varPsi}, \xi\rangle &= \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G_n}\langle dA_{n-2}, \xi\rangle - \langle A_{n-2} \overset{e}{\varPsi}, \xi\rangle, \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G_n}\langle dA_{n-2}, \xi\rangle + \frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^4}{32\pi G_n}(1-\epsilon)\rho(\rho+p)A_{n-2}H\tilde{r}_A\,. \qquad (2\cdot 26)\end{aligned}$$

Using the Friedmann equations given in the above, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\delta Q &= -\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{4\pi G_n}(n-2)A_{n-2}H\tilde{r}_A\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{r}_A^4+\ell^2\tilde{r}_A^2}}\right) \\ &= T\langle \frac{n-2}{4G_n}\frac{\varOmega_{n-2}\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}}{\sqrt{\tilde{r}_A^2+\ell^2}}d\tilde{r}_A, \xi\rangle = T\langle dS, \xi\rangle = TdS\,, \qquad (2\cdot 27)\end{aligned}$$

where $T = \kappa/2\pi$ and

$$S = \frac{(n-2)\varOmega_{n-2}}{4G_n}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\frac{\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}}{\sqrt{\tilde{r}_A^2+\ell^2}}d\tilde{r}_A\,. \qquad (2\cdot 28)$$

Integrating (2·28), we obtain the entropy expression associated with the apparent horizon

$$S = \frac{A_{n-2}}{4G_n}\cdot\left\{\frac{n-2}{n-1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}_A}{\ell}\right){}_2F_1\left[\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{n+1}{2},-\left(\frac{\tilde{r}_A}{\ell}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \qquad (2\cdot 29)$$

where ${}_2F_1[\alpha,\beta,\gamma,z]$ is Gaussian hypergeometric function. This entropy expression looks a bit complicated, but has the expected properties: in the large horizon limit, it reduces to the standard area formula in n dimensions, while in the small horizon limit, it becomes the area formula in the bulk. Further, from the point of view of bulk, one can also arrive at the entropy expression.$^{18)}$

We can rewrite the unified first law as

$$d\overset{m}{E} = A_{n-2}\overset{m}{\varPsi} + \overset{m}{W}\,dV_{n-2}, \qquad (2\cdot 30)$$

where $\overset{m}{E} = \rho V_{n-2}$. After projecting along the horizon using ξ, equation (2·30) gives us with

$$\langle d\overset{m}{E}, \xi\rangle = \langle TdS, \xi\rangle + \langle\overset{m}{W}\,dV_{n-2}, \xi\rangle. \qquad (2\cdot 31)$$

This relation is nothing but the first law of thermodynamics associated with the apparent horizon, $dE = TdS + WdV_{n-2}$, with identifying the interior energy E to be ρV_{n-2}, temperature T to $\kappa/2\pi$, entropy S to the form given in (2·29), and the work density $W = (\rho - p)/2$.

As a result, it turns out that we can indeed obtain an entropy expression for the apparent horizon in the RS model by applying the method proposed in,$^{12)}$ and the universal relation, $dE = TdS + WdV_{n-2}$, also holds for the apparent horizon in this brane world scenario.

§3. Entropy of apparent horizon in warped DPG model

The original DGP model consists of a bulk scalar curvature term and an intrinsic scalar curvature term on the brane without tension.[27)] Here we consider the so-called warped DGP model, which essentially is a generalization of the RS II model by introducing an intrinsic curvature term on the brane. Considering an $(n-1)$-dimensional brane with perfect fluid in the warped DGP model, we have the corresponding Friedmann equation[19)]

$$\sqrt{H^2+\frac{k}{a^2}-\frac{2\kappa_{n+1}^2\Lambda_{n+1}}{n(n-1)}-\frac{\mathcal{C}}{a^n}}=-\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^2}{4\kappa_n^2}(n-2)(H^2+\frac{k}{a^2})+\frac{\kappa_{n+1}^2}{2(n-1)}\rho, \tag{3·1}$$

where $H=\dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter on the brane and $\mathcal{C}$ denotes for the dark radiation term. In the following we set $\mathcal{C}=0$. And the energy density on the brane obeys the continuity equation, $\dot{\rho}+(n-1)H(\rho+p)=0$. In this section, we derive the entropy expression of the apparent horizon on the brane by using the relation

$$dE=TdS+WdV, \tag{3·2}$$

where the definitions of these quantities are the same as those in the previous section.

The apparent horizon radius in the FRW universe is $\tilde{r}_A=1/\sqrt{H^2+k/a^2}$, and the surface gravity has the form $\kappa=-\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_A}\left(1-\frac{\dot{\tilde{r}}_A}{2H\tilde{r}_A}\right)$. The temperature associated with the apparent horizon is defined by $T=\kappa/2\pi$.

1) Let us first consider the case without the intrinsic curvature term, namely $\kappa_n^2\to\infty$ in (3·1). This case can be divided into two subcases. (i) The brane is embedded into a Minkowskian space, namely, the bulk cosmological constant Λ_{n+1} vanishes. In this case, we find that by using the relation $dE=TdS+WdV$, the entropy has the form

$$S=\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}dS=\frac{(n-1)\Omega_{n-1}}{2G_{n+1}}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}d\tilde{r}_A=\frac{2\Omega_{n-1}\tilde{r}_A^{n-1}}{4G_{n+1}}. \tag{3·3}$$

This entropy obeys the area formula in $(n+1)$ dimensions. The factor 2 comes from the Z_2 symmetry of the brane. This is an expected result since in this case, the gravity on the brane is the $(n+1)$-dimensional Einstein gravity, the localization of gravity does not happen.

(ii) The brane is embedded in an AdS space. This case is just the one discussed in the previous section. Indeed, we can obtain the entropy expression like (2·29).

2) The case with the intrinsic curvature term. This case can also be divided into two subcases. (i) The case with the brane being embedded in a Minkowskian space. This case through $dE=TdS+WdV$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S&=(n-1)\Omega_{n-1}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\left(\frac{(n-2)\tilde{r}_A^{n-3}}{4G_n}+\frac{\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}}{2G_{n+1}}\right)d\tilde{r}_A\\&=\frac{(n-1)\Omega_{n-1}\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}}{4G_n}+\frac{2\Omega_{n-1}\tilde{r}_A^{n-1}}{4G_{n+1}}=S_n+S_{n+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3·4}$$

It is interesting to note that in this case the entropy can be regarded as a sum of two area formulas; one (the first term) corresponds to the gravity on the brane and the other (the second term) to the gravity in the bulk. This indeed reflects the fact that there are two gravity terms in the action of DGP model.

(ii) The brane is embedded in an AdS space. This time we arrive at

$$S=\frac{(n-1)\Omega_{n-1}\tilde{r}_A^{n-2}}{4G_n}+\frac{2\Omega_{n-1}\tilde{r}_A^{n-1}}{4G_{n+1}}\times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{n+1}{2},-\frac{\tilde{r}_A^2}{\ell^2}\right). \tag{3·5}$$

This is also a sum of two terms. This first term is nothing, but the are formula coming from the intrinsic curvature term on the brane, while the second term is just the one we obtained in the RSII model.

§4. Entropy of apparent horizon in the Gauss-Bonnet brane world with induced gravity

The approach in the previous section can be applied to a more general case to obtain an entropy expression associated with apparent horizon in brane world scenarios. In this section we consider a general case, where both an intrinsic curvature term on the brane and a scalar curvature term in the bulk appear, besides, a Gauss-Bonnet term are also present in the bulk.[20] The action of the model under consideration is

$$S=\frac{1}{2{\kappa_5}^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\,(R-2\Lambda+\alpha\mathcal{L}_{GB})+\frac{1}{2{\kappa_4}^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{R}+\int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{L}_m-2\lambda), \tag{4·1}$$

where $\Lambda<0$ is the bulk cosmological constant and $\mathcal{L}_{GB}=R^2-4R^{AB}R_{AB}+R^{ABCD}R_{ABCD}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet correction term. In this case, the corresponding Friedmann equation on the brane is[20), 28)]

$$\epsilon\frac{2{\kappa_4}^2}{{\kappa_5}^2}\left[1+\frac{8}{3}\alpha\left(H^2+\frac{k}{a^2}+\frac{\Phi_0}{2}\right)\right]\left(H^2+\frac{k}{a^2}-\Phi_0\right)^{1/2}=-\frac{\kappa_4^2}{3}\rho+H^2+\frac{k}{a^2}, \tag{4·2}$$

where $\Phi_0=\frac{1}{4\alpha}\left(-1+\sqrt{1-\frac{8\alpha}{\ell^2}}\right)$ and $\epsilon=\pm1$. For later convenience we choose $\epsilon=-1$. Furthermore, here we have set the bulk dark radiation to vanish.

In this case, we find

$$dE-WdV=T\left(\frac{3\Omega_3}{2G_4}\tilde{r}_A+\frac{3\Omega_3}{2G_5}\frac{\tilde{r}_A^2}{\sqrt{1-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}}\right)d\tilde{r}_A+T\frac{6\alpha\Omega_3}{G_5}\left(\frac{2-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}{\sqrt{1-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}}\right)d\tilde{r}_A. \tag{4·3}$$

Thus the entropy associated with the apparent horizon can be obtained as

$$S=\frac{3\Omega_3}{2G_4}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\tilde{r}_Ad\tilde{r}_A+\frac{3\Omega_3}{2G_5}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\frac{\tilde{r}_A^2d\tilde{r}_A}{\sqrt{1-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}}+\frac{6\alpha\Omega_3}{G_5}\int_0^{\tilde{r}_A}\frac{2-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}{\sqrt{1-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}}d\tilde{r}_A. \tag{4·4}$$

Integrating this yields an explicit form of the entropy

$$\begin{aligned} S = {} & \frac{3\Omega_3\tilde{r}_A^2}{4G_4} + \frac{2\Omega_3\tilde{r}_A^3}{4G_5}\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2},\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2\right) \\ & + \frac{6\alpha\Omega_3\tilde{r}_A^3}{G_5}\left({}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2},\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2\right)\Phi_0 + \frac{\sqrt{1-\Phi_0\tilde{r}_A^2}}{\tilde{r}_A^2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4·5}$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z)$ is a hypergeometric function. The expression looks complicated. But its physical meaning is clear and includes various special cases.[20)] In particular, keeping α finite, and taking the limit $G_4 \to \infty$ and $\Phi_0 \to 0$, one can extract from Eq. (4·5) the entropy associated with the apparent horizon on the brane in the Gauss-Bonnet brane world with a Minkowskian bulk $S = \frac{2\Omega_3\tilde{r}_A^3}{4G_5}\left(1 + \frac{12\alpha}{\tilde{r}_A^2}\right)$. This expression is the same as that of entropy of black holes in the Gauss-Bonnet gravity. This give a self-consistency check for the entropy (4·5).

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my collaborators, M. Akar, L.M. Cao, S.P. Kim, A. Sheykhi and B. Wang for collaboration in these topics discussed in this work, and also the organizers for inviting me to take part and to present this work in the wonderful conference, ICGA8. This work was supported in part by a grant from Chinese Academy of Sciences, by NSFC under grants No. 10325525 and No. 90403029.

References

1) J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 161 (1973).
2) S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975).
3) J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D**7**, 2333 (1973).
4) T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260(1995)[arXiv: hep-th/0212327].
5) A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, JCAP **0305**, 009 (2003).
6) U. K. Danielsson, Phys. Rev. D**71**, 023516(2005)[arXiv: hep-th/0411172].
7) R. Bousso, Phys. Rev. D**71**, 064024(2005)[arXiv: hep-th/0412197].
8) R. G. Cai and S. P. Kim, JHEP **0502**, 050 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501055].
9) M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **635**, 7 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602156].
10) C. Eling, R. Guedens and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 121301 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0602001].
11) M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 243 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0612089].
12) R. G. Cai and L. M. Cao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064008 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0611071].
13) M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084003 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609128].
14) S. A. Hayward, S. Mukohyana, and M. C. Ashworth, Phys. Lett. A**256**, 347(1999); S. A. Hayward, Class. Quant. Grav. **15**, 3147 (1998) [arXiv:gr-qc/9710089].
15) N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9803315]; I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9804398].
16) L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221]; L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
17) P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, Phys. Lett. B **477**, 285 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910219].
18) R. G. Cai and L. M. Cao, Nucl. Phys. B **785**, 135 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612144].
19) A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Nucl. Phys. B **779**, 1 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701198].

20) A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 023515 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701261].
21) X. H. Ge, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 49 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703253].
22) Y. Gong and A. Wang, arXiv:0704.0793 [hep-th].
23) S. F. Wu, G. H. Yang and P. M. Zhang, arXiv:0710.5394 [hep-th]; S. F. Wu, B. Wang and G. H. Yang, arXiv:0711.1209 [hep-th].
24) T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5387 (2002)[arXiv: gr-qc/0204019]; Phys. Rept. **406**, 49 (2005)[arXiv: gr-qc/0311036]; T. Padmanabhan, arXiv:gr-qc/0606061; A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D**74**, 104015 (2006) [arXiv: hep-th/0607240]; D. Kothawala, S. Sarkar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Lett. B **652**, 338 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0701002].
25) S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6467 (1994); S. A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 1938 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9408002].
26) T. Shiromizu, K. i. Maeda and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024012 (2000). [arXiv:gr-qc/9910076]
27) G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B **485**, 208 (2000) hep-th/0005016.
28) G. Kofinas, R. Maartens, E. Papantonopoulos, JHEP **0310**, 066 (2003) hep-th/0307138.